

2

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ ARB.P. 118/2010

MALA VERMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.K. Kalia, Advocate

versus

M/S BHARTI TELENET LTD

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Zeba Khan, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

02.12.2010

%

Counsel for the respondent states that the respondent, without admitting the averments made in the petition, is agreeable to the disputes being referred to arbitration in terms of the arbitration agreement contained in the lease deed dated 05.02.2003.

Considering that there is no dispute with regard to the existence of the arbitration agreement as also the fact that disputes have arisen between the parties, this petition is allowed. Accordingly, I appoint Mr. Atul Nigam, Advocate (Mobile No.9810049589) as the sole arbitrator to adjudicate the claims and counter claims of the parties.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

6

On instructions, the parties agree that the arbitration be conducted under the rules of the Delhi High Court Arbitration. They have also signed the memorandum and tendered the same in court. The same is taken on record.

A copy of this order along with the memorandum be sent to the Delhi High Court Arbitration Centre as well as to the learned arbitrator.

Petition stands disposed of.



VIPIN SANGHI, J

DECEMBER 02, 2010
sr