

9
* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ RC.REV. 88/2010

UMESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Petitioner in person.

versus

KISHAN GANESH & ANR

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. S.C. Singhal, Adv. with
Respondent in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE VEENA BIRBAL

ORDER

% 23.11.2010


RC.REV. 88/2010 & CM 20847/2010 & CM No.20465/2010

Petitioners who appears in person submits that he is not pressing the petition on merits and prays that he may be given time till 30.06.2011 to vacate the premises in question.

The respondent is present in person. Under the instructions from the respondent, the counsel for the respondent states that the respondent has no objection in granting time to the petitioner up to 30.06.2011 and the respondent will not execute the eviction order till then.


In view of above statement given by the petitioner and the respondent, the present petition and pending applications stand disposed of as not pressed. The petitioner is granted time till 30.06.2011 to vacate the premises in question subject to filing of usual undertaking within two weeks with a copy to the respondent.

Renotify on 21.12.2010. In the meanwhile, the respondent shall not execute the eviction order till the next date of hearing. The parties to appear in person on the next date.


VEENA BIRBAL,J

NOVEMBER 23, 2010
ssb


— Undertaking not to


Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed by: UMESH KUMAR
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

(UMESH KUMAR GARG)
23-11-2010