

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

MACA No. 73/2007Date of Decision: September 29, 2010

SAMEER AHMED Appellant
through Mr. R S Roy, Advocate

versus

NATIONAL INSURANCE CO. LTD. Respondents
through Mr. Pankaj Seth, Advocate .

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE REKHA SHARMA

1. Whether the reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the 'Digest'?

REKHA SHARMA, J. (ORAL)

The appellant has preferred the present appeal against the award of the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal dated September 25, 2006 alleging that he has not been awarded any sum towards 'loss of income' even though he was not able to attend to his work for a period of two years from the date of the accident. He has further alleged that he has also not been adequately compensated with regard to the expense incurred by him on medicines.

In so far as the first submission of the appellant is concerned, the same is not true. As per paragraph 16 of the impugned award, this aspect of the matter was duly considered and he has been awarded a sum of ₹ 15,000/- towards 'loss of income'. As regards the other submission, a perusal of the trial court record shows that the appellant

had placed on record number of medical bills, some of which were proved and some were not proved. In this view of the matter, the appellant is awarded a further sum of ₹ 10,000/- towards 'medical expenses'. The Insurance Company is directed to make payment of the said amount along with interest @ 7.5% per annum to the appellant within four weeks from now.

With this direction, the appeal is disposed of.


REKHA SHARMA, J

SEPTEMBER 29, 2010
PC.