

6

1.

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CM(M) 701/2010

MEENA CHANDRA

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. B.K. Saini (with petitioner
in person), proxy for
Mr. Bharat Bhushan, Adv.

versus

SAVITRI DEVI SHARMA

..... Respondent

Through : Mr. Atul Nigam, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE VEENA BIRBAL

ORDER

29.10.2010

%

It is stated that parties have entered into a compromise before the execution court wherein they have made the statement.

Counsel for petitioner submits that there is a slight change and instead of vacating the premises on 30.10.2010, the petitioner will vacate the same on 07.11.2010 and respondent has no objection in this regard. It is stated that as agreed between them, petitioner shall pay all the electricity and water charges and arrears of rent to the respondent and they have also agreed that on doing the needful by petitioner, all pending litigations including counter claim filed against the respondent will be settled and will not be pressed by them.

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULY
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

CM(M) 701/2010

Page 1 of 2


In view of the settlement between the parties, counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner is not pressing the present petition and she be permitted to withdraw the same.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

CM Nos. 9783/2010 & 9784/2010

In view of above order, no further orders are required to be passed on the present applications.

The same stand disposed of accordingly.



VEENA BIRBAL, J

OCTOBER 29, 2010
kks