

13

30.

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ W.P.(C) 256/2009

M/S CHOPRA MARKETING PVT.LTD.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Wadhwa & Mr. Amulya
Dhingra, Advocates.

versus

SH. AJAY GUPTA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanat Kumar, Advocate for R-
1to5
Mr. Ajay Arora & Mr. Kapil Dutta,
Advocates for MCD.

AND

31.

+ W.P.(C) 257/2009

M/S CHOPRA MARKETING PVT.LTD.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Wadhwa & Mr. Amulya
Dhingra, Advocates.

versus

SH. AJAY GUPTA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanat Kumar, Advocate for R-
1to5
Mr. Ajay Arora & Mr. Kapil Dutta,
Advocates for MCD.

AND

32.

+ W.P.(C) 258/2009

M/S CHOPRA MARKETING PVT.LTD.

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Wadhwa & Mr. Amulya
Dhingra, Advocates. 1/-

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

versus

SH. AJAY GUPTA & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Sanat Kumar, Advocate for R-1to5
Mr. Ajay Arora & Mr. Kapil Dutta,
Advocates for MCD.

AND

33.
+ W.P.(C) 259/2009

M/S CHOPRA MARKETING PVT.LTD. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Rajat Wadhwa & Mr. Amulya
Dhingra, Advocates.

versus

SH. AJAY GUPTA & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Sanat Kumar, Advocate for R-1to5
Mr. Ajay Arora & Mr. Kapil Dutta,
Advocates for MCD.

AND

34.
+ W.P.(C) 260/2009

M/S CHOPRA MARKETING PVT.LTD. Petitioner
Through: Mr. Rajat Wadhwa & Mr. Amulya
Dhingra, Advocates.

versus

SH. AJAY GUPTA & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr. Sanat Kumar, Advocate for R-1to5
Mr. Ajay Arora & Mr. Kapil Dutta,
Advocates for MCD.


15

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER
09.11.2010

%

1. The counsels have mentioned the matter.
2. The counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw these petitions to avail the alternative remedy and in which respect objection has been taken by the respondents no.1 to 5 in their counter affidavits. The counsel for the respondents no.1 to 5 and the counsel for the respondent no.7 MCD have no objection.
3. The petitions are accordingly dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternative remedy in accordance with law. No order as to costs.

**RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J**

NOVEMBER 09, 2010
PP..

3/-