

i.55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 658/2008

JULIE (MINOR)

THROUGH FATHER SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR Petitioner

Through : Mr.Piyush Singh for
Mr. Vikas Pahwa, Addl.
Standing Counsel.

versus

AMIT KUMAR @ MOHAN & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through : None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KAIT

ORDER

12.10.2009

%

1. The prosecutrix, through her father has filed the instant Revision Petition for the reason, by the time the Revision Petition was filed, the State had not made up its mind whether the state would be challenging the decision whereby the respondent has been acquitted.

2. The State has since filed Crl.L.P. No.38/09 seeking leave to appeal against the impugned decision of acquittal.

3. In that view of the matter, we decline to entertain the instant Revision Petition but grant liberty to the revisionist to

Signature Not Verified


Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

(4)

-: 2 :-

assist the learned Public Prosecutor in prosecution of CrI.L.P.
No.38/09 and the appeal, should leave to appeal be granted.

4. The Revision Petition stands disposed of as aforesaid.


PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J


SURESH KAIT, J

OCTOBER 12, 2009
'nks'