

23.

✓

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CM(M) 1169/2008

YOGENDER SINGH GAHLOT
Through: None.
versus

..... Petitioner

SUMAN GAHLOT
Through: None.


..... Respondent

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ARUNA SURESH

% **ORDER**
26.10.2009

Present none despite Passover.

The petition is dismissed in default.


ARUNA SURESH, J.

OCTOBER 26, 2009
bg

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

A-1.

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CM(M) 1169/2009

SMT.ROOPA & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: None.
versus

SH.AZAD @ AJAY

..... Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ARUNA SURESH

ORDER

10.09.2010

%

File has been received from the Registry noting that the parties name and case number have been wrongly mentioned in the order dated 26th October, 2009.

It is noted that on that day, another CM(M) No.1169/2008, titled 'Yoginder Singh Gahlot Vs. Suman Gahlot' was also listed for hearing and the said case after hearing was reserved for orders. Final orders have been passed in the said petition on 13th August, 2010.

It seems that a typographical mistake has crept in the order dated 26th October, 2009 because Registration Number in both the CM(M) was the same, except that this petition was registered

CM(M) 1169/2009

Page 1 of 2

in 2009 whereas CM(M) No. 1189/2008 was registered in 2008. This has resulted into wrong description of the parties to the petition. Order dated 26th October, 2009 is hereby modified and after modification, it be read:-

" + CM(M) 1169/2009

SMT.ROOPA & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: None.
versus

SH.AZAD @ AJAY

..... Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ARUNA SURESH

ORDER


26.10.2009

%

Present none despite Passover.

The petition is dismissed in default."

File be sent back to the Registry.



ARUNA SURESH, J.

SEPTEMBER 10, 2010

sb