

34.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 12235/2009

GYAN DEVI

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. P. George Giri, Advocate.

versus

THE UNION OF INDIA

..... Respondent

Through Mr. Jatan Singh, CGSC for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

ORDER

09.10.2009

%

W.P.(C) No. 12235/2009 & CM No. 12522/2009

I do not think it will be appropriate to entertain this writ petition in a representative capacity without details like name and addresses. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition may be treated as filed by the petitioner in her personal capacity and for personal relief.

Learned counsel for the respondent, on the other hand, submits that demolition and dispossession action has already been taken and possession is with the respondents.


The writ petition itself discloses that action was taken sometime in August, 2009 and thereafter on 1st/3rd October, 2009.

Signature Not Verified In view of the above facts, I do not think any order can be passed to

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

protect the present writ petitioner. In case the petitioner is in occupation of the property, it will be open to her to approach the respondents and ask for 24 hours for vacating the same. In case any such representation with an undertaking is made, the same will be sympathetically considered by the respondents. This order has been passed in this particular case and will not be treated as precedence in any other cases.

2


SANJIV KHANNA, J.

OCTOBER 09, 2009
VKR/P