

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C.) No.10568/2009**

% **Date of Decision: 31.08.2009**

Shri Sagar Mehra Petitioner
Through Mr.Raman Duggal, Advocate

Versus

Delhi Technological University Respondent
Through Ms.Avnish Ahlawat, Advocate

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR

- | | | |
|----|---|-----|
| 1. | Whether reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | YES |
| 2. | To be referred to the reporter or not? | NO |
| 3. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | NO |

ANIL KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

*

This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to the respondent to admit the petitioner to the course of Master of Engineering (Structural Engineering) for the academic session 2009-2010. The petitioner has also sought an inquiry into the alleged malpractice in admission process.

Learned counsel for the respondent contends that the petitioner has been granted admission in the course of Master of Engineering (Structural Engineering) for academic session 2009-2010. Consequently, the relief of the petitioner seeking admission to the

course of Master of Engineering (Structural Engineering) for academic sessions 2009-2010 does not survive.

As far as the relief for holding an inquiry into the alleged malpractice in the admission process, considering the pleas and contentions raised by the parties, the case of alleged malpractice is not made on the basis of the averments made by the petitioner. The relief of inquiry into the alleged malpractice is, therefore, declined.

The writ petition is disposed of. Parties are left to bear their own costs.

August 31, 2009

'Dev'

ANIL KUMAR, J.