

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C.No.2871/2008**

% **Date of Decision: 01.09.2008**

Deepak Kumar Petitioner

Through Mr.Ajay Jain, Advocate

Versus

State of N.C.T & Ors Respondents

Through Mr.R.N.Vats, APP for the State.
Mr.Ravi Shankar, Advocate for the
respondent Nos.2 & 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR

- | | | |
|----|---|-----|
| 1. | Whether reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | YES |
| 2. | To be referred to the reporter or not? | NO |
| 3. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | NO |

ANIL KUMAR, J.

*

Crl.M.A No.10471/2008

Allowed subject to all just exceptions. Application is disposed of.

Crl.M.C No.2871/2008

Issue notice to the respondents. Mr.Vats accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 4 and Mr.Ravi Shankar accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.2 & 3 who are also present. The learned counsel for the parties contend that due to intervention of well wishers and respected persons of the society the disputes have been amicably

settled between the parties and respondent Nos.2 & 3 have agreed to withdraw their cases against the petitioner. It is also contended that the parties have made statement before the Court of Metropolitan Magistrate Sh.Manish Gupta regarding settlement of all the disputes and differences and that they will approach this Court for quashing of the FIR No.612/2000 under Section 326/324/201 of IPC registered at Police Station Sultanpuri.

In the circumstances, the learned counsel for the parties contend that no useful purpose shall be served in continuing with the proceedings pursuant to FIR No.612/2000 under Section 326/324/201 of IPC registered at Police Station Sultanpuri. It is also contended that it shall be in the interest of justice in case the said FIR and all the proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

The respondents are present with the petitioner. The petition is supported by the affidavit of the petitioner and respondent Nos.2 & 3. Consequently, in the facts and circumstances it is apparent that no useful purpose shall be served in continuing the proceedings pursuant to FIR No.612/2000 under Section 326/324/201 of IPC registered at Police Station Sultanpuri. It shall be also in the interest of justice to quash the said FIR and all the proceedings emanating therefrom against the petitioner. The learned counsel for the State, Mr.Vats also

has no objection to quashing of the said FIR and all the proceedings emanating therefrom.

In the totality of facts and circumstances, therefore, FIR No.612/2000 under Section 326/324/201 of IPC registered at Police Station Sultanpuri and all the proceedings emanating therefrom against the petitioner are quashed.

Petition is disposed of.

Dasti.

September 01, 2008
'k'

ANIL KUMAR, J.