

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 18383/2006

SAROJ @ SURAJ Petitioner
Through Mr.N.S.Dalal, Advocate.

versus

GOVT. OF N.C.T.OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents
Through Ms.Charul Sarin and Mr.Sethi, Advocates
for DDA.
Mr.Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Advocate for Respondent
no.1.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

% **ORDER**
10.04.2008

1. The petitioner vide Sale Deed dated 8th August, 1988 had purchased 1/10th share of land out of total land measuring 12 bighas, i.e. 1 bigha 4 biswas, in Khasra No.10/11/2(2-13), 20(5-11), 21/2 (3-16) in village Pansali, Delhi.

2. In the year 1999, this land became the subject matter of Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act and was acquired vide Award no.4/2002-2003 dated 3rd April, 2002. In view of the said acquisition, the petitioner claims alternative land. Even though the land in question was acquired in 1999, the respondent-GNCTD is still examining the claim of the petitioner. The case of the petitioner is that even if mutation entry was not

made, it is an admitted fact that the petitioner was the owner of the land and the said land was acquired by the Land and Building Department for planned development of Delhi. He states that the Sale Deed dated 8th August, 1988 is admitted and the entire compensation for the said land has been paid to him. He also relies upon certain other certificates.

As the petitioner's application has not been decided, the respondent –Land & Building Department of GNCTD will examine the case of the petitioner including the documents relied upon by her and after giving personal hearing to her, application would be disposed of by a speaking order within three months from today. Petitioner will be at liberty to challenge the speaking order, if required.

Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. **DASTI.**

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

APRIL 10, 2008.
P/VKR