

8

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ FAO(OS) NO. 132/2007

% Date of Decision : September 22nd, 2008

UOI

..... Appellant
Through: Mr. Ravi Kant Chaddha, Senior
Advocate with Ms. Preeti Dalal
and Ms. Maneesha Dhir, Advocates.

versus

WISHWA MITTAR BAJAJ &
SONS & ANR.

..... Respondents
Through: Mr. Harish Malhotra, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Tanuj Khurana, Advocate for
R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKUL MUDGAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

1. Whether the Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? X
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? X
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? X

JUDGMENT

MUKUL MUDGAL, J : (ORAL)

1. After some arguments, the learned senior counsel for the respondent states that he is not opposing the consideration of Appellant's objections to the Award by the learned Single Judge but requests that the matter be taken up at an early date and some cost be paid to the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee, New Delhi ('DHCLSC'). Accordingly, the delay is

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed By: AMULYA
Certify that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the
file and no page is missing.

FAO(OS) No. 132/2007


Page No. 1

9

condoned and the impugned order dated 6th March, 2007 is set aside and taking into account the fact that a sum of Rs. 85 lacs is involved, we are of the view that Rs. 8,500/- as cost shall be deposited in the DHCLSC by the Appellant/UOI not later than 4th November, 2008. A copy of this order be sent to the Secretary, DHCLSC for seeking enforcement, if the need so arise. The parties are directed to appear before the learned Single Judge on 16th October, 2008. The learned Single Judge will consider an early disposal in view of the order passed today by this Court.

2. The appeal stands allowed in these terms.


MUKUL MUDGAL, J


MANMOHAN, J

SEPTEMBER 22, 2008

sb

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) 132/2007**

UOI

..... Appellant

Represented by: None

versus

WISHWA MITTER BAJAJ & SONS & A Respondents

Represented by: Mr.Samar Singh Kachwaha,
Advocate with Mr.Raghavendra
M.Bajaj and Ms.Mithu Jain,
Advocates for the applicant

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP NANDRAJOG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAYANT NATH

ORDER

%

04.03.2014

CM No.4119/2014

Allowed.

CM No.4118/2014

Application stands disposed of directing that if appellant has deposited any money pursuant to the order dated July 23, 2007 passed in the appeal the same shall be treated as a deposit in OMP No.448/2005.


PRADEEP NANDRAJOG, J.


JAYANT NATH, J.

MARCH 04, 2014

mamta